THE ACOUSTO-OPTIC INTERACTION FROM A FUNDAMENTAL POINT OF VIEW TO ITS APPLICATIONS IN NON-DESTRUCTIVE TESTING

PACS REFERENCE: 43.35.Sx

Leroy Oswald (1); Declercq Nico F. (2); Degrieck Joris (3)

(1) Interdisciplinary Research Center, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven Campus Kortrijk E. Sabbelaan 53 8500 Kortrijk Belgium Tel: +32 56 350463 Fax: +32 56 350463 E-mail: Oswald.Leroy@kulak.ac.be (2) Soete Laboratory, Department of Mechanical Construction and Production, Ghent University Sint Pietersnieuwstraat 41 9000 Gent Belgium Tel: +32 9 264 3436 Fax: +32 9 264 3587 E-mail: NicoF.Declercq@rug.ac.be (3) Soete Laboratory, Department of Mechanical Construction and Production, Ghent University Sint Pietersnieuwstraat 41 9000 Gent Belgium Tel: +32 9 264 3433 Fax: +32 9 264 3587 E-mail: Joris.Degrieck@rug.ac.be

ABSTRACT

Different methods are discussed to describe the diffraction of light by monofrequent, pulsed and adjacent superposed ultrasound. The modulation of light (amplitude and phase) is very sensitive to variations in the ultrasonic parameters, whence the acousto-optic interaction is extremely useful in non-destructive testing. In the optical nearfield of a diffracted laser beam, it is even possible to reconstruct all of the acoustic wave parameters. The ability of measuring the amplitude and phase of reflected and transmitted waves is a powerful tool to discover information about the surface on which scattering of sound occurs.

INTRODUCTION

It was not until laser light was ready to be used in the 1960's that the scattering of light by sound, first predicted by the French Léon Brillouin in 1921 [1] and first experimentally observed by the French Lucas and Biquard and the Americans Debye and Sears in 1932 [2,3], became a hot topic of investigation by many scientists. The first theoretical explanations of the Acousto-Optic effect came from the French Léon Brillouin (1931) [4] and the Indians Raman and Nagendra Nath (1935) [5-10]. The often called 'Flemish School of Acousto-Optics' [11] finds its roots at the Ghent University around 1950 [12] and has continued to exist in Belgium at the Ghent University (R. Mertens et al) and the KUL University Campus Kortrijk (O. Leroy et al.) from 1972. This paper does not cover the complete field of acousto-optics. It is our aim to describe some basic ideas of the problem in acousto optics and to present just some of the discoveries of Leroy and co-workers, from his early years at the Ghent University until his accorded emeritus status at the KUL University Campus Kortrijk.

SOME TOPICS OF LIGHT DIFFRACTION BY ULTRASOUND

<u>Diffraction of light by monofrequent ultrasound (general Raman – Nagendra Nath Theory [8])</u> The configuration is shown in figure 1. The sound travels in the xdirection while the light is incident in the xz-plane and is diffracted by the sound. The different parameters used are shown in table 1. If the sound frequency is of the order of MHz, then the electric field of the laser light in the sound column [0,L] can be written as

$$E(x, z, t) = e^{i \mathbf{w}} \Phi(x, z, t)$$
⁽¹⁾



transducer

Figure 1: The geometrical configuration

w = 2 pn	= angular light frequency
$w^* = 2pn^*$	= angular sound frequency
m ₀	= refractive index in undisturbed media
т	= maximum variation of the refractive index from m_0
$k = 2\mathbf{p}/\mathbf{l}$	= wave number of the light
$k^* = 2\mathbf{p}/\mathbf{I}^*$	= wave number of the sound
J=f=j	= different notations for the angle between a light ray and the z-axis
Table 1: description of the applied symbols	

 Table 1: description of the applied symbols

with $\Phi(x, z, t)$ a solution of the Helmholtz wave equation [8,12,13]

$$\frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial z^2} = \frac{\mathbf{m}^2(x,t)}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 \Phi}{\partial t^2}$$
(2)

With boundary conditions

$$\Phi(x,0,t) = \Phi_0(x,0) = e^{-i\mathbf{n}\mathbf{g}kx\sin\mathbf{q}}$$

$$\left(\frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial z}\right)_{z=0} = -i\mathbf{m}_0 k e^{-i\mathbf{n}\mathbf{g}kx\sin\mathbf{q}}$$
(3)

with

 $\Phi_0(x,z) = e^{-i\mathbf{n}\mathbf{q}k(x\sin\mathbf{q}+z\cos\mathbf{q})}$ (4)

valid in the region z<0 and a solution of (2) Hence

$$E_0(x, z, t) = e^{i[\mathbf{w} - \mathbf{n} \mathbf{q} k(x \sin \mathbf{q} + z \cos \mathbf{q})]}$$
(5)

Then, we write

$$\Phi(x,z,t) = \Phi_0(x,z)\Phi_1(x,z,t)$$
(6)

whence $\Phi_1(x, z, t)$ adopts the periodicity of the ultrasound [9] and, due to symmetry considerations, it can be expanded in a discrete Fourier transform [8]

$$\Phi_1 = \sum_{n} \Phi_n(z, t) e^{ink^*x}$$
⁽⁷⁾

The single progressive sound wave will result in

$$\boldsymbol{m}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \boldsymbol{m}_{0} + \boldsymbol{m}\sin 2\boldsymbol{p}\left(\boldsymbol{n}^{*}t - \boldsymbol{x}_{\boldsymbol{I}^{*}}\right)$$
(8)

which, if we neglect terms containing \vec{m} , ultimately gives the famous differential-difference set of equations [9]

$$2\frac{d\Phi_n}{d\mathbf{x}} - \left(\Phi_{n-1}e^{ia\mathbf{x}\sin\mathbf{f}} - \Phi_{n+1}e^{-ia\mathbf{x}\sin\mathbf{f}}\right) = \frac{in^2\mathbf{I}^2\cos^2\mathbf{f}}{\mathbf{m}_{\mathbf{n}}\mathbf{m}^{*2}}\Phi_n$$
(9)

in which

$$\boldsymbol{x} = \frac{2\boldsymbol{p}\boldsymbol{m}}{\boldsymbol{l}} (x \sin \boldsymbol{f} + z \cos \boldsymbol{f})$$

$$\boldsymbol{a} = \frac{\boldsymbol{l}}{\boldsymbol{m}}^{*}$$
(10)

Relation (9) is often written in the form

$$2\frac{d\Psi_n}{d\mathbf{x}} - \Psi_{n-1} + \Psi_{n+1} = in(n\mathbf{r} - 2a\sin\mathbf{f})\Psi_n$$
(11)

In which

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r} \cos^2 \mathbf{f} \tag{12}$$

$$\mathbf{r} = \frac{\mathbf{l}^2}{\mathbf{m}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathbf{m}^{*2}}$$
(13)

$$\Phi_n = \Psi_n e^{ina\mathbf{x}\sin\mathbf{f}}$$
(14)

Solving equations (11) or extending them to more complicated situations has been one of the main research topics in Acousto-Optics since 1936.

 $oldsymbol{r}$ is called the 'diffraction regime parameter' and

$$Q = \frac{2\boldsymbol{p}\boldsymbol{l}\boldsymbol{L}}{\boldsymbol{l}^{*2}\cos\boldsymbol{f}}$$
(15)

is the Klein-Cook parameter. Two extremity regimes exist. The Raman-Nath regime is defined as the situation in which $r \approx 0$, involving low frequencies (< 5 MHz) and leading to the generation of many diffraction orders, cfr. figure 2.



Figure 2: Diffraction in the Raman-Nath regime

The Bragg regime is defined as the situation in which $r \ge 5$, involving high frequencies (>15MHz) and generating a zero order and a first order diffracted light beam, cfr. figure 3



Figure 3: Diffraction in the Bragg regime

Diffraction of light by superposed ultrasound (a pulse)

If the ultrasonic wave is built up by a fundamental tone \mathbf{n}^* and N-1 harmonics, then (8) becomes

$$\boldsymbol{m}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \boldsymbol{m}_{0} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{m}_{n} \sin\left[2\boldsymbol{p}_{n}\left(\boldsymbol{n}^{*}t - \frac{\boldsymbol{x}}{\boldsymbol{I}^{*}}\right) + \boldsymbol{d}_{n}\right]$$
(16)

 \mathbf{m}_n being the maximum variation of the refractive index caused by the nth harmonic, having a phase shift \mathbf{d}_n . Substitution of (16) in the wave equation (2) and expanding the function in a Fourier series gives:

$$2\frac{d\Psi_n}{d\mathbf{x}} - \sum_{r=1}^N \frac{\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{m}} \left[\Psi_{n-r} e^{-i\left(r\mathbf{w}^* t + \mathbf{d}_r\right)} - \Psi_{n+r} e^{i\left(r\mathbf{w}^* t + \mathbf{d}_r\right)} \right] = in(n\mathbf{r} - 2a\sin\mathbf{f})\Psi_n$$
(17)

in which

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}\cos^{2}\mathbf{f};$$
 $\mathbf{r} = \frac{\mathbf{l}^{2}}{\mathbf{m}_{0}\mathbf{m}_{1}}\mathbf{I}^{*2};$ $\mathbf{x} = \frac{2\mathbf{p}\mathbf{m}_{1}}{\mathbf{I}}(x\sin\mathbf{f}+z\cos\mathbf{f});$ $a = \frac{\mathbf{l}}{\mathbf{m}_{1}}\mathbf{I}^{*}$ (18)

The set of equations (17) is called the generalized Raman-Nath system.

Now, we consider a generating function [12]

$$G(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{h}) = \sum_{n}^{n} \Psi_{n}(\mathbf{x}, t) \mathbf{h}^{n}$$
(19)

Multiplication by h^n and summation in (17) then produces

$$2\frac{\partial G}{\partial \boldsymbol{x}} - F(\boldsymbol{h})G + 2ia\,\boldsymbol{h}\sin\,\boldsymbol{q}\,\frac{\partial G}{\partial\,\boldsymbol{h}} = 0$$
⁽²⁰⁾

with

$$F(\mathbf{h}) = \sum_{r=1}^{N} \frac{\mathbf{m}}{\mathbf{m}_{1}} \left[\mathbf{h}^{r} e^{-i\left(r\mathbf{w}^{*}_{t} + \mathbf{d}_{r}\right)} - \mathbf{h}^{-r} e^{i\left(r\mathbf{w}^{*}_{t} + \mathbf{d}_{r}\right)} \right]$$
(21)

and boundary condition

$$G(0, \mathbf{h}) = 1 \tag{22}$$

(**a a**)

Equation (20) was solved, from which all amplitudes $\Psi_n(\mathbf{x},t)$ could be analytically expressed.

Diffraction of light by adjacent sound

(8) is now of the form

$$\mathbf{m}(x,t) = \mathbf{m}_{0} + \mathbf{m}[H(z) - H(z - L_{1})]\sin 2\mathbf{p}\left(\mathbf{n}^{*}t - \frac{x}{I^{*}}\right) + \mathbf{m}_{2}[H(z - L_{1})]\sin 2\mathbf{p}\left(\mathbf{n}^{*}t - \frac{x}{I^{*}} + \frac{\Delta}{r}\right)$$
(23)

and for q = 0, the system of differential equations is found and an analytical solution is obtained for $r \approx 0$. The result is amenable to study the influence of the phase difference between the two adjacent sound waves.

THE RECONSTRUCTION OF THE ACOUSTICAL PARAMETERS

Multiple angle incidence method

If a convergent light beam penetrates the sound column, then after diffraction, in some discrete directions, it is found that the light is an exact image of the sound that causes the diffraction. This phenomena has been used to get an image of an object that disturbs the sound in the sound column in the vicinity of the penetrating converging laser beam [17]. This effect has been studied theoretically by considering light beams incident simultaneous in 2N angles that are a multiple of the smallest Bragg angle q_B . It has been found that in the direction Nq_B the light is a perfect analytical representation of the sound through which the light beams are diffracted [18].



Figure 4: Multiple angle incident laser light

Optical near-field method

The region $z \ge L$ but very close to the sound column is called the optical near field or Fresnel region. In that zone, the diffracted orders are not (yet) separated from each other. If the sound column is a pulse described by

$$\boldsymbol{m}(\boldsymbol{x},t) = \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \boldsymbol{m}_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \sin\left[2\boldsymbol{p}n\left(\boldsymbol{n}^{*}t - \frac{\boldsymbol{x}}{\boldsymbol{I}^{*}}\right) + \boldsymbol{d}_{n}\right]$$
(24)

then it is found [19] that in the optical nearfield $z \approx L$ the sound intensity is given by

$$I \approx 1 + C_0 \sum_{n=1}^{N} v_n n^2 \sin \left[2 \mathbf{p} n \left(\mathbf{n}^* t - \frac{x}{I^*} \right) + \mathbf{d}_n \right]$$
⁽²⁵⁾

(**-** -)

Whence in the optical nearfield, the interference of all the diffracted orders create an exact image of the ultrasound.

SOME APPLICATIONS IN NON DESTRUCTIVE TESTING

Roughness measurement of a corrugated surface

On the left side of figure 5, the effect of the roughness on the phase of the reflected sound for Rayleigh angle incidence is measured using the Acousto Optic technique, while the right side of figure 5 shows a picture obtained from an electron microscope [21].



Figure 5: Roughness measurements, left: Acousto Optically, right: using electron microscope

Investigation of a diamond coating on a glass plate

On the left side of figure 6, the effect of the absence or presence of a diamond coating (on a glass plate) on the phase of the reflected sound was measured acousto-optically for Lamb angle incidence. A comparison can be made with the result of a roughness measurement using a needle (right side of figure 6) [20].



Figure 6: Left: Acousto Optical measurement of the coating, Right: a classical measurement of the coating (using a needle)

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Nico F. Declercq is sponsored by 'The Flemish Institute for the Encouragement of the Scientific and Technological Research in Industry (I.W.T.)'

REFERENCES

- Brillouin Léon, "Diffusion de la lumière et des rayons X par un corps transparent homogène, influence de 1) l'agitation thermique, Annual de Physique. (Paris), 17, 88-122, 1921
- 2) Debye, P., and Sears, F. W., "On the scattering of light by supersonic waves", Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 18(6), 409-414, 1932.
- 3) Lucas René, and Biquard Pierre, "Propriétés optique des milieux solides et liquides soumis aux vibrations élastiques ultra sonores", Journal de Physique, 71(10), 464-477, 1932
- L. Brillouin, "La diffraction de la lumière par des ultra-sons", Actualités Scientifiques et Industrielles, 59, 4) 1-31, 1933
- C.V. Raman, N. S. Nagendra Nath, "The diffraction of light by high frequency sound waves: part I", 5) Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences, 2, 406-412, 1935
- C.V. Raman, N. S. Nagendra Nath, "The diffraction of light by high frequency sound waves: part II", 6) Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences, 2, 413-420, 1935
- 7) C.V. Raman, N. S. Nagendra Nath, "The diffraction of light by high frequency sound waves: part III, Doppler Effect and Coherence Phenomena", Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences, 3, 75-84, 1936
- 8) C.V. Raman, N. S. Nagendra Nath, "The diffraction of light by high frequency sound waves: part IV, Generalised Theory", Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences, 3, 119-125, 1936
- 9) C.V. Raman, N. S. Nagendra Nath, "The diffraction of light by high frequency sound waves: part V, General Considerations - Oblique Incidence and Amplitude Changes", Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences, 3, 459-465, 1936
- 10) Nagendra Nath N. S., Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences, 8A, 499-503, 1938
- Oswald Leroy, Robert A. Mertens, "Theoretical acousto-optics in Belgium", Optical Engineering, 31(10), 11) 2048-2061.
- 12) Robert Mertens, "Diffraction of light by progressive supersonic waves", Med. Kon. VI. Acad. Wet. Belg. 12(7), 1-37, 1950
- 13) Nagendra Nath N.S. "The diffraction of light by high frequency sound waves : generalized theory", Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences 4, 222-242, 1936 14) Kuliasko Frederik, Mertens Robert, Leroy Oswald, "Diffraction of light by supersonic waves : the solution
- of the Raman-Nath equations-I". Proceedings of the Indian Academy of Sciences, vol LXVII n°6, sec. 1. 295-302 (1968).
- Leroy O., "Theory of the diffraction of light by ultrasonic waves consisting of a fundamental tone and its 15) first n-1 harmonies". Ultrasonics 182-186 (1972).
- Blomme E. and Leroy O., " Diffraction of light by ultrasound : Finite analytical expressions for the spectra up to order 3", Acustica 57, 168-174 (1985).
- 17) Breazeale M.A., Jeon Kwan Na and Leroy Ó. " Bragg imaging of high-intensity ultrasonic waves". 113 Meeting of Acoust. Soc. Amer. J. Acoust. Soc. Am. Suppl. 1, 81, (1987). Van Den Abeele Koen and Leroy Oswald "Visualization of ultrasonic pulses by acousto-optical
- 18) interaction with a conical lightbeam: farfield reconstruction method". Acousto-optics : Researches and developments. School-Seminar, June 27 - July 1, 1990, Leningrad pp. 355-361 (1990). 19) Blomme E. and Leroy O. " Plane wave analysis of the nearfield of light diffracted by ultrasound". J.
- Acoust. Soc. Am. 91(3), 1474-1483 (1992).
- 20) Wevers M., Devolder S., Demeester P. and Leroy O., "Acousto-optique technique: a new nondestructive technique to evaluate thin layered structures", Appl. Phys. Lett. 66(12), 1466-1468 (1995).
 21) Briers, R., Leroy, O., Devolder, S., Wevers, M. and De Meester, P. "Surface roughness determination
- using the acousto-optic technique: theory and experiment" Appl. Phys. Lett. 71, 599-601 (1997)